

EXHIBIT 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT.
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

NEXT PAYMENT SOLUTIONS, INC., }
Plaintiff, } Case No. 17 C 8829
-vs- } Chicago, Illinois
CLEARRESULT CONSULTING, INC., } March 26, 2019
Defendant. }

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHIEF JUDGE RUBEN CASTILLO

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff: MS. SUSAN BOGART
Law Offices of Susan Bogart
Three First National Plaza
70 W. Madison St., Suite 1400
Chicago, IL 60602
(312) 214-3271
Sbogart514@aol.com

For the Defendant: MS. SHANNON ARMSTRONG
Holland & Knight LLP
111 SW Fifth Avenue
2300 US Bancorp Tower
Portland, OR 97204
(503) 243-2300
Shannon.armstrong@hk1aw.com

Court Reporter:

KATHLEEN M. FENNELL, CSR, RPR, RMR, FCRR
Official Court Reporter
United States District Court
219 South Dearborn Street, Suite 2524-A
Chicago, Illinois 60604
Telephone: (312) 435-5569
Kathleen.Fennell@11nd.uscourts.gov

1 APPEARANCES: (Continued)

2 For the Defendant: MR. ANTHONY JAMES FUGA
3 Holland & Knight LLP
4 131 S. Dearborn Street
5 30th Floor
Chicago, IL 60603
(312) 715-5771
Anthony.fuga@hklaw.com

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 (Proceedings heard in open court:)

2 THE CLERK: 17 C 8829, NEXT Payment Solutions versus
3 CLEARresult Consulting.

4 THE COURT: Good morning.

09:48:15 5 MS. BOGART: Good morning, Your Honor. Susan Bogart
6 on behalf of the plaintiff.

7 MS. ARMSTRONG: Shannon Armstrong on behalf of the
8 defendant, CLEARresult Consulting.

9 MR. FUGA: Anthony Fuga also on behalf of the
09:48:23 10 defendant.

11 THE COURT: So there's only one motion that's up
12 today, right, the motion to compel?

13 MS. BOGART: That's right.

14 THE COURT: And you've already filed a response?

09:48:29 15 MS. BOGART: We have.

16 THE COURT: I think this Court already foreshadowed
17 its conclusion in the summary judgment opinion. I think it is
18 appropriate to grant the defendant's motion, and so I'm going
19 to grant motion that's docketed 288, and I'm merely going to
20 say consistent with the Court's summary judgment opinion, I
21 conclude that the plaintiff's current description of its trade
22 secrets is too broad to support the plaintiff's position of
23 proceeding to trial on a claim for misappropriation of trade
24 secret, so plaintiff is ordered to supplement its response
09:49:17 25 within 30 days.

1 So we need to know exactly the trade secret that
2 you're going to proceed to on trial. I understand I tried to
3 clarify that. I think I said something like the specific
4 features that were in the FAST Tool but were missing from the
5 DSMTracker and later added to the DSMTracker after defendant
6 accessed the NEXT system back end, but that's way too general
7 for a jury to deal with, and that's why I'm ordering the
8 supplement within 30 days.

9 And let me just tell you, Ms. Bogart, if we don't get
09:50:02 10 a supplement that is what I think triable, this could hold up
11 the trial or else I'm going to ask you to give up this
12 particular claim, and you have other claims you can proceed to
13 trial on. But we really need to flush this out and keep in
14 mind a jury having to grapple with this. That's all I'm going
09:50:27 15 to tell you.

16 So 30 days. Today is March 26th. That's going take
17 you to April 26th. Let's see what you file.

18 I'm going to see you then on May 2nd.

19 MS. BOGART: Judge, I'm out of town on May 2nd.

09:50:46 20 THE COURT: Okay. Then why don't we say the
21 following Monday, May 4th. Are you in?

22 MS. BOGART: I'm back May 5th.

23 THE COURT: May 5th? 6th did you say?

24 MS. BOGART: I think May 5th is a Sunday.

09:50:59 25 THE COURT: May -- yeah, I think I'm off track here.

1 THE CLERK: You're going to be in Milwaukee.

2 THE COURT: For the Seventh Circuit conference.

3 Okay. So why don't we just make it the following
4 week then.

09:51:10 5 THE CLERK: Like the 14th?

6 THE COURT: That's too far.

7 THE CLERK: Or the 8th you're talking about.

8 THE COURT: Yeah. Let's make it May 8th at 10:00.

9 That's going to give the defense plenty of time to react to
09:51:24 10 the supplement.

11 MS. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, what about the immediate
12 pretrial order and trial dates that have been set?

13 THE COURT: I think I'm not going to make you file it
14 and re-do it. Let's hold off on that for right now.

09:51:35 15 MS. ARMSTRONG: Thank you.

16 MS. BOGART: Judge, if I might?

17 THE COURT: Yes.

18 MS. BOGART: We -- in our response, we did identify
19 and we have had on record since July of 2018 very specific
09:51:50 20 features that we have the evidence --

21 THE COURT: I've looked at those. All I can tell
22 you, Ms. Bogart, it's not sufficient for my purposes, and it's
23 not going to be sufficient for a jury to decide this case.
24 That's my conclusion.

09:52:02 25 I'm not going to try a case just to get a mistrial.

1 I've got better things to do. So please supplement.

2 MS. BOGART: I understand.

3 THE COURT: If you want to rest on that supplement,

4 | you're going to take a chance, that's all I'm going to ask.

5 | So you supplement as you see fit, and I will do what I think

6 is appropriate, which could mean tubing this particular claim.

7 | Thank you.

8 Call the next case.

9 (Which were all the proceedings heard.)

10 CERTIFICATE

11 I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from
12 the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

13 /s/Kathleen M. Fennell

March 26, 2019

14 Kathleen M. Fennell
15 Official Court Reporter

Date